

OVERVIEW

Welfare policy in the United States can be explained primarily in terms of who benefits, who pays, and citizens' beliefs about social justice. None of these factors is static. Who will benefit from or pay for a program varies as the society and the economy change. Similarly, beliefs about who deserves what shift with alterations in people's attitudes toward work, family, and the obligations of government.

The separation of powers and the system of checks and balances mean that greater political effort and more time will be required for the adoption of new welfare policies. The federalist system also guarantees that states will play a large role in determining how welfare programs are designed and administered.

The policies' benefits and costs help explain the popularity of Social Security and Medicare and the controversial nature of the Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) program. Social Security and Medicare provide widely distributed benefits, imposing widely distributed costs. AFDC provided benefits to some at a cost to many. Further, the wider public increasingly viewed this program's recipients as "undeserving." As the controversy escalated, the program lost its political legitimacy and was repealed.

CHAPTER OUTLINE WITH KEYED-IN RESOURCES

- I. Two types of welfare programs
 - A. Benefit most citizens, no means test (Social Security and Medicare)
 1. Represents majoritarian politics
 2. Questions: who will pay? How much will they pay?
 - B. Benefit a few citizens, means tested (Medicaid and Food Stamps)
 1. Represents client politics
 2. Questions are about legitimacy: Who should benefit? How should they be served?
 3. Government acts very differently in regard to these programs.
 - a) Majoritarian benefit programs are sacrosanct.
 - (1) Politicians look for ways to maintain benefits and hide rising costs.
 - (2) Will adopt measures that allow tough decisions to be postponed
 - b) The appeal of client-based, means-tested programs changes with popular opinion.
 - (1) Established in 1935, AFDC was seen as a way of helping poor women whose husbands had died in war or been killed in mining accidents.
 - (2) By the mid-1960s, perception of AFDC as encouraging out-of-wedlock births and creating social dependency.
 - (3) Had lost its political legitimacy and was abolished
- II. Social welfare in the United States (THEME A: United States WELFARE PROGRAMS IN COMPARATIVE PERSPECTIVE)
 - A. Four factors make social welfare policy different in the United States than in other nations
 1. Americans generally take a restrictive view of who is entitled to government assistance.
 2. America has been slower than other nations to embrace the welfare state.
 3. Americans insist that the states and private enterprise play a large role in administering welfare programs.
 4. Nongovernmental organizations play a large role.
 - B. Who benefits?
 1. Public insists that it be only those who cannot help themselves.
 2. Slow, steady change in popular views, distinguishing between the deserving and the undeserving
 3. Alternative view: determine each person's fair share of national income, and the government redistributes money accordingly
 4. American public prefers to give services, not money, to help the "deserving poor."
 - C. Late arrival of welfare policy in the United States, at least at the national level

1. Behind twenty-two European nations
 2. Contrasts between United States and Britain's 1908 passage of a national system of old-age pensions
 - a) Parliamentary structure and party majority facilitated policy change
 - b) Unitary government meant programs were nationally run
 - c) Society was thinking about social classes, accepting an activist government, making welfare a central political issue
 - d) Contemporary reformers in United States focused on political changes instead of welfare policies.
- D. States and private enterprises play a role in administering programs in the United States.
1. Not until the constitutional reinterpretation of the 1930s was it clear that the national government could enact social policy.
 2. Political arguments regarding federal involvement:
 - a) Opponents argued against federal involvement, because states were already providing welfare
 - b) But state authorities lobbied for federal involvement to help them
- E. Nongovernmental organizations administer much of the welfare state.
1. Contracts and grants are awarded to national nonprofit organizations, such as Big Brothers, Big Sisters, Jewish Federations, and Catholic Charities.
 2. Charitable Choice: 1996 provision that allowed religious nonprofit organizations to compete for grants to administer welfare-to-work and related policies
 3. President George W. Bush expanded role of faith-based organizations in 2001.
 4. Today, faith-based organizations play prominent roles in urban welfare-to-work programs.
 - a) Fewer than 10 percent give preference in hiring to coreligionists.
 - b) Nearly all accept beneficiaries without regard to religion.
 - c) Public opinion supportive of efforts: 75 percent of Americans believe faith-based organizations are more "caring and compassionate" in providing services
 - d) In 2009, President Obama established the White House Office of Faith-Based and Neighborhood Partnerships.
- III. Majoritarian welfare policies: Social Security and Medicare (THEME B: WELFARE POLITICS AND POLICY)
- A. Social Security Act of 1935
1. Great Depression: private charities and city relief programs were overwhelmed by need
 2. Elections of 1932: Democrats, FDR swept into office
 - a) Temporary measures were enacted to provide cash aid to state and local relief agencies and to create public-works jobs.
 - b) Long-term measures would need to adapt to political realities
 - (1) Was direct welfare unconstitutional?
 - (2) Would it violate American individualism?
 - c) Fear of more radical movements challenging FDR in the 1936 elections
 - (1) Long's "Share Our Wealth" plan (Louisiana)
 - (2) Sinclair's "End Poverty in California" platform
 - (3) Townsend's old-age pension program
 3. Cabinet Committee's plan
 - a) Two kinds of programs:
 - (1) Insurance for unemployed and elderly, to which workers contribute and from which they would benefit
 - (2) Assistance for dependent children, the blind, and the elderly
 - b) Federally funded, state-administered (except for old-age insurance) programs

- (1) Everybody eligible for insurance programs
 - (2) Means test for assistance programs
- B. Medicare Act of 1965
1. Medical benefits omitted in 1935 in order to ensure passage of the Social Security Act.
 2. For thirty years, policy entrepreneurs sought a national-health care plan that would win a congressional majority.
 3. Democrats' big majority in 1964 election altered Ways and Means membership (the chief roadblock to the legislation), and its chair became supportive of the program.
 4. Proposed bill was designed to avoid objections
 - a) Applied only to the aged, so that costs would be limited
 - b) Only hospitals', not doctors', bills were covered so that doctors would not be regulated.
 5. Actually broadened by Ways and Means to include Medicaid for the poor and to pay doctors' bills for the elderly.
 6. Passed both houses with partisan vote; Democrats voted in favor and Republicans voted against.
- C. Majoritarian welfare program reform needed because costs will soon overwhelm system.
1. Problem with Social Security
 - a) There will soon be insufficient people paying Social Security taxes to provide benefits for every retired person.
 - b) Magnitude of shortfall is estimated to be \$4 trillion over the next seventy-five years.
 2. Proposed solutions to Social Security problem
 - a) Raise the retirement age to seventy
 - b) Reduce benefits for high earners by 10 percent
 - c) Raise payroll taxes for employers and employees from 6.2 percent to 6.7 percent
 - d) Increase the wage cap from \$97,500 to \$150,000
 - e) Have government make investments with program surpluses.
 - f) Let individuals invest some of their Social Security tax payments.
 - (1) Proposed by various advisory commissions
 - (2) Rejected by President Clinton, but accepted by President George W. Bush
 - (3) Popular with younger workers, but two-thirds of Americans want to keep Social Security as a guaranteed benefit program
 - (4) President Obama opposes private investment accounts.
 3. Problem with Medicare: Program is costly and inefficient; costs about \$450 billion a year.
 - a) Since government pays for doctor or hospital visit, people use medical services unnecessarily.
 - b) Some doctors and hospitals overcharge the government for their services.
 - c) Doctors and hospitals are paid according to government-approved plan that can change whenever government wants to save money.
 4. Proposed solutions to Medicare problem:
 - a) Eliminate Medicare and have doctors and hospitals work for government.
 - (1) Government-run health care may provide fewer benefits.
 - (2) May discourage new health care innovation
 - b) Have elderly buy health insurance from private suppliers.
 5. Health care issues will remain on the political agenda.
 - a) Baby boomer population is aging
 - b) Government health care expenditures continue to grow
 - c) The issue is important to powerful interest groups (e.g., AARP)

6. Movement toward health-care reform
 - a) President Obama has proposed comprehensive health care reform.
 - b) Cut health care costs for businesses
 - c) End barriers to health-care coverage for persons with preexisting medical conditions.
 - d) Create a government health-care plan that would compete with private plans.
 7. In mid-2009, opinion polls indicated that health-care reform was considered “very important” by half of all voters and “somewhat important” by nearly one-third of all voters.
 8. The plan remains highly controversial.
- D. Client welfare programs: Aid to Families with Dependent Children
1. Part of Social Security Act (1935)
 2. Administration shared by federal and state governments
 - a) States should define “need.”
 - b) Washington set rules for how program should work
 - (1) Told states how to calculate applicants’ incomes
 - (2) Required states to give Medicaid to AFDC recipients
 - (3) States had to establish mandatory job-training programs for recipients.
 - (4) States had to provide child-care programs for working AFDC parents.
 - (5) Women had to identify their children’s fathers.
 - c) Washington also created new programs for which AFDC recipients were eligible.
 - (1) Food Stamps
 - (2) Earned Income Tax Credit
 - (3) Free school meals
 - (4) Housing assistance
 3. AFDC progressively lost political legitimacy over the years.
 - a) States disliked having to conform to burdensome federal regulations.
 - b) Public believed program encouraged out-of-wedlock births by increasing benefits for each new child.
 - c) Public perceived that many recipients were working, too, and thus were undeserving.
 - d) Politicians concerned that healthy parents were choosing to receive government assistance instead of working.
 - e) Changing demographics of recipients
 - (1) By 1994, only about one-fourth of AFDC mothers were widowed or divorced; half had never been married at all.
 - (2) Two-thirds of women received AFDC for eight years or more.
 4. AFDC was abolished in 1996; it was replaced by Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF).
 - a) Block grant program
 - b) Had strict federal requirements about work, limited how long families can receive federally funded benefits
 - c) By 2006, welfare caseloads had declined nationally by 62 percent
- IV. Majoritarian versus client politics
- A. Majoritarian politics: costs and benefits are widely distributed
 1. Examples: Social Security Act, Medicare Act
 2. Question of legitimacy central to debate over Social Security in 1935
 - a) Conservatives argued that nothing in the Constitution authorized the federal government to spend money this way; welfare is a state issue.
 - b) Liberals rejoined that federal government had obligation to elderly citizens; Social Security is insurance program, not government expenditure.

3. Similar concerns raised in 1965 debate over Medicare .
 - a) Conservatives argued that medical care was private, not governmental, concern.
 - b) Liberals rejoined that only the government had resources to help elderly.
- B. Client politics: everybody pays, relatively few people benefit.
 1. To be politically viable, perceived cost must be low, and client must be “deserving.”
 2. Original AFDC program thought legitimate because it helped mothers who were single through circumstances beyond their control; replaced with TANF after public rejected aid for those who became single mothers largely by choice.
 3. Legitimacy of beneficiaries often more important than cost
 - a) For welfare, Americans prefer a service strategy to an income strategy.
 - b) May be willing to bear costs even if high (prescription-drug benefits for seniors) as long as recipients are perceived as legitimate
- C. Reforming majoritarian education programs
 1. President Obama signed the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act. It had about \$5 billion for early learning programs, \$30 billion for college loans and other support for higher education, and federal grants totaling nearly \$50 billion for elementary and secondary schools.
 2. In several years after Bush’s No Child Left Behind bill became law, Republicans pulled even with Democrats on public trust with respect to education.
 3. By mid-2009, Democrats had regained an edge on the issue.